

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 1357 Case file # 7-BA-7778.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 5:00 PM (Time), 09/15/99 (Date)

File #: 7-BA-77778

Laboratory #(s): 30513018

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>ZG</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>ZH</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 30513018 Date: May 27, 1993

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 30513018

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated May 12, 1993; Malone's dictation

Results of Review

File #: 7-BA-7778 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q11, K8

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File # 7-BA-77778

#1. The examiner performed solubility tests on the Q11 and K8 fibers. Infrared analysis, a much more specific test, should have been performed. Please note that a paragraph has been added to RQ's dictation. This paragraph apparently reports the results of infrared analysis on the fibers. This examination is not documented in the case notes.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil and are not initialed by the technician. The Textile Fiber Comparison worksheet is not dated. The spectra from the microspectrophotometry are not marked with case number, date or initials. The instrumental parameters of the microspectrophotometer are not documented. The infrared spectra of the fibers should be in the case file.

Review completed at: 5:30 PM (Time), 09/15/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):

1/2 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Robata
(Signature)

9-15-99
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SMA